

ONTARIO'S WATCHDOG CHIEN DE GARDE DE L'ONTARIO

OMLET Annual Report 2013-2014 – Facts and highlights

The "Sunshine Law" = The open meeting requirements outlined in the Municipal Act, 2001

Number of complaints received – Sept. 1, 2013-Aug. 31, 2014: 149 – down from last year's total of 293, but up from the previous year's 128 (even though that covered a longer period, April 1, 2011 to Aug. 31, 2012)

Number of complaints within Ombudsman's jurisdiction: 89

Number of councils and local boards complained about: 40 councils, 2 local boards

Number of meetings complained about: 49

Illegal meetings found: 11 (22%, up from last year – 19 out of 96, or almost 20%)

Procedural violations found: 13

Best practices recommended: 31

Number of municipalities using the Ombudsman as closed meeting investigator between Sept. 1, 2013 and Aug. 31, 2014: 196 (up from 191 in the past two years)

Municipalities that switched from another investigator to the Ombudsman between Sept. 1, 2013 and Aug. 31, 2014: Northern Bruce Peninsula, Madawaska Valley, Owen Sound, Central Frontenac, Mulmur, Schreiber, Brockville, Saugeen Shores, Chatsworth. (NOTE: Since Aug. 31, 2014, Brighton, Sudbury and Zorra & Tillsonburg Twp. have also switched to the Ombudsman; Leeds and the Thousand Islands left the Ombudsman in December 2013 but has since switched back.)

Municipalities that switched from the Ombudsman to another investigator between Sept. 1, 2013 and Aug. 31, 2014: Tiny and Hanover.

Time it takes to resolve complaints: 68% of cases were resolved within one month

Municipalities with the most complaints: Bonfield (13), London (9), Elliot Lake (8)

Municipalities with the most illegal meetings: Elliot Lake (3), Ryerson Twp. (2)

Ombudsman's message (pages 5-18):

- Bill 8 marks "historic turning point" for municipal accountability
- Few local accountability officers appointed since 2008
- Municipal elections brought welcome change
- Ombudsman oversight is nothing to fear
- Sunshine Law still provides inconsistent, "patchwork" oversight
- Penalties should be added to Municipal Act for violating open meeting law
- Rules for municipal corporations are too confusing province should fix

Municipalities that began making audio or video recordings of closed meetings (as recommended by the Ombudsman) since Sept. 1, 2013:

- Midland
- Welland
- Adelaide Metcalfe
- McMurrich-Monteith
- Brudenell, Lyndoch & Raglan Twp.
- Brighton

Common issues in investigations (pages 20-27):

- Illegal closed meetings with outside parties, no notice or reason given Elliot Lake, Adelaide Metcalfe, Killarney
- **Complaints apparently driven by ulterior motives** London (municipal election), Bonfield (labour dispute)
- Confusing rules for municipal corporation boards Niagara Central Airport Commission, White Mountain Academy (Elliot Lake)
- Inadequate public notice Larder Lake, Bluewater, Adelaide Metcalfe, Carleton Place, Owen Sound and Brudenell, Lyndoch & Raglan

Most commonly misused/misunderstood reason for closing meetings (page 23): Personal matters about an identifiable individual – Billings, Midland, Owen Sound, Russell Twp.